Amendments to the Drawings

Please add a new sheet Fig.4.

#### REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

# 1. Amendments to the drawings

5

As the new drawing Fig.4 is supported by original paragraph [0018], applicants believe that no new matter is introduced. Consideration of the new drawing Fig.4 is respectfully requested.

#### 10 2. Amendments to the specification

As new paragraph [0026.1] is supported by original paragraph [0018] and the newly entered drawing Fig.4, applicants believe that no new matter is introduced. Consideration of the specification amendments is respectfully requested.

15

#### 3. Amendments to the claims

Claim 14 is amended to incorporate limitations recited in claim 22, and the dependency of claims 23 and 24 is amended accordingly.

20

- Claim 25 is amended to incorporate limitations recited in claim 30, and the dependency of claims 31 and 32 is amended accordingly.
- Claims 37 52 are new and include limitations fully supported by the specification and the immediate prior version of claim listing. No new matter is added by these amendments. Consideration of claim amendments is respectfully requested.

# 4. Claim rejections - 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Claims 14 - 17, 20 - 21, 25 and 28 - 29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kameya.

#### 5 Response

Claim 14 is amended to include limitations of claim 22. As stated in the section 'Allowable Subject Matter', claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon rejected base claims, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include limitations of the respective base claims. Therefore, applicants believe claim 14 has been placed in condition for allowance. Claims 15-17, 20-21, and 23-24 are dependent upon claim 14, and should be allowed if claim 14 is found allowable.

Claim 25 is amended to include limitations of claim 30. As stated in the section 'Allowable Subject Matter', claim 30 is objected to as being dependent upon rejected base claims, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include limitations of the respective base claims. Therefore, applicants believe claim 25 has been placed in condition for allowance. Claims 28-29 and 31-32 are dependent upon claim 25, and should be allowed if claim 14 is found allowable.

20

10

15

### 5. Allowable subject matter

Claims 33 – 36 are allowed.

25

## Response

Applicants are grateful to the Examiner for the allowance of claims 33 - 36.

15

Appl. No. 10/710,175 Amdt. dated July 11, 2006 Reply to Office action of April 11, 2006

# 6. New claims

Claims 37 – 43 are dependent on claim 33. As claim 33 is found allowable by the examiner then new claims 37 – 43 should also be found allowable.

Claims 44 - 50 are dependent on claim 34. As claim 34 is found allowable by the examiner then new claims 44 - 50 should also be found allowable.

Claim 51 is dependent on claim 35. As claim 35 is found allowable by the examiner then new claim 51 should also be found allowable.

Claim 52 is dependent on claim 36. As claim 36 was found allowable by the examiner then new claim 52 should also be found allowable.

Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Sincerely yours,

Wententan

Date: July 11, 2006

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526

5 P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.

Voice Mail: 302-729-1562 Facsimile: 806-498-6673

e-mail: winstonhsu@naipo.com

Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. (The time in D.C. is 12 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 9 PM in Taiwan.)